



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,882	07/08/2003	Philip Michael Hawkes	030441	9835

23696 7590 07/27/2005

Qualcomm Incorporated
Patents Department
5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, CA 92121-1714

EXAMINER

SIMITOSKI, MICHAEL J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2134

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/615,882

Applicant(s)

HAWKES ET AL.

Examiner

Michael J. Simitoski

Art Unit

2134

– The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 May 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5,8-16,19-25,28-34,37-43,46-52 and 55-63 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5,8-16,19-25,28-34,37-43,46-52 and 55-63 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/4/2005
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-5, 8-16, 19-25, 28-34, 37-43, 46-52 & 55-63 are pending.
2. The response of 5/2/2005 was received and considered.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's response (p. 11, §I-II) has overcome the objections to and rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112 of the claims, set forth in the previous Office Action and therefore the objections and rejections are withdrawn.
4. Applicant's arguments see remarks (p. 12, ¶1 – p. 13, ¶2), filed 5/2/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-57 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) and 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of U.S. Patent RE 33,189 to Lee et al. (**Lee**).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-5, 8-16, 19-25, 28-34, 37-43, 46-52 & 55-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Re. 33,189 to Lee et al. (**Lee**) in view of Handbook of Applied Cryptography by Menezes et al. (**Menezes**).

Art Unit: 2134

Regarding claims 1, 22, 40 & 58, Lee discloses distributing a key/user ID (col. 3, lines 28-42), receiving a secret key encrypted by the key/user ID (col. 4, lines 1-22), decrypting the secret key/ key by the key/user ID (col. 4, lines 1-22), receiving the access key/random number encrypted by the secret key/key (col. 4, lines 1-22) and decrypting the access key/random number by the secret key/key (col. 4, lines 1-22). Lee lacks a public key. However, Menezes teaches that key layering is a key-exchange technique, whereby a master key is distributed, key-encrypting keys are used to transport keys and data keys are used to encrypt the data a user will use (pp. 552-553, §13.3.1). Specifically, Menezes teaches that public keys can be used to encrypt other keys, which are then decrypted by the corresponding private key (p. 552, #2 & Fig. 13.4(b)). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a public key to encrypt the secret key. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to achieve simplified key management, as taught by Menezes (p. 551, #1-3).

Regarding claims 2, 10, 14, 20, 23, 29, 32, 38, 41, 47, 50, 56 & 59, Lee discloses the secret key being a registration key (col. 2, lines 41-51).

Regarding claims 3, 11, 15, 21, 24, 30, 33, 39, 42, 48, 51 & 57, Lee discloses the secret key being a temporary key/key of the month (col. 3, lines 28-42).

Regarding claims 4, 12 & 63, Lee discloses deriving a short key/PN sequence based on the access key/random number, receiving encrypted broadcast content/video and decrypting the encrypted broadcast content using the short key/PN sequence (col. 3, line 28 - col. 4, line 22).

Regarding claims 5, 25, 43 & 60, Lee discloses distributing a key/user ID (col. 3, lines 28-42), receiving the broadcast access key/key encrypted by the key/user ID and decrypting the

Art Unit: 2134

broadcast access key/key by the private key/user ID (col. 4, lines 1-22). Lee lacks a public key. However, Menezes teaches that key layering is a key-exchange technique, whereby a master key is distributed, key-encrypting keys are used to transport keys and data keys are used to encrypt the data a user will use (pp. 552-553, §13.3.1). Specifically, Menezes teaches that public keys can be used to encrypt other keys, which are then decrypted by the corresponding private key (p. 552, #2 & Fig. 13.4(b)). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a public key to encrypt the secret key. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to achieve simplified key management, as taught by Menezes (p. 551, #1-3).

Regarding claims 8 & 61, Lee discloses deriving a short key/random number based on the access key/key, receiving encrypted broadcast content/video and decrypting the encrypted broadcast content/video using the short key/random number (col. 3, line 28 - col. 4, line 22).

Regarding claims 9, 28, 46 & 62, Lee discloses receiving a key/user ID corresponding to a private key/user ID (col. 3, lines 28-42), encrypting the secret key/key with the key/user ID (col. 3, lines 42-64), sending the encrypted secret key/key (col. 3, lines 1-22), receiving the access key/random number encrypted by the secret key/key (col. 4, lines 1-22) and decrypting the access key/random number by the secret key/key (col. 3, line 28 - col. 4, line 22). Lee lacks a public key. However, Menezes teaches that key layering is a key-exchange technique, whereby a master key is distributed, key-encrypting keys are used to transport keys and data keys are used to encrypt the data a user will use (pp. 552-553, §13.3.1). Specifically, Menezes teaches that public keys can be used to encrypt other keys, which are then decrypted by the corresponding private key (p. 552, #2 & Fig. 13.4(b)). Therefore, it would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 2134

one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a public key to encrypt the secret key. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to achieve simplified key management, as taught by Menezes (p. 551, #1-3).

Regarding claims 13, 31 & 49, Lee discloses receiving a key/user ID (col. 3, lines 28-42), encrypting a secret key/key using the key/user ID (col. 3, lines 42-64), sending the encrypted secret key/key (col. 4, lines 1-5), encrypting the access key/random number using the secret key/key (col. 3, lines 42-64) and sending the encrypted access key/random number (col. 4, lines 1-22). Lee lacks a public key. However, Menezes teaches that key layering is a key-exchange technique, whereby a master key is distributed, key-encrypting keys are used to transport keys and data keys are used to encrypt the data a user will use (pp. 552-553, §13.3.1). Specifically, Menezes teaches that public keys can be used to encrypt other keys, which are then decrypted by the corresponding private key (p. 552, #2 & Fig. 13.4(b)). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a public key to encrypt the secret key. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to achieve simplified key management, as taught by Menezes (p. 551, #1-3).

Regarding claims 16, 34 & 52, Lee discloses receiving a key/user ID (col. 4, lines 1-22), encrypting the broadcast access key/key using the key/user ID (col. 3, lines 42-64) and sending the encrypted broadcast access key/key (col. 3, lines 42-64). Lee lacks a public key. However, Menezes teaches that key layering is a key-exchange technique, whereby a master key is distributed, key-encrypting keys are used to transport keys and data keys are used to encrypt the data a user will use (pp. 552-553, §13.3.1). Specifically, Menezes teaches that public keys can

Art Unit: 2134

be used to encrypt other keys, which are then decrypted by the corresponding private key (p. 552, #2 & Fig. 13.4(b)). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a public key to encrypt the secret key. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to achieve simplified key management, as taught by Menezes (p. 551, #1-3).

Regarding claims 19, 37 & 55, Lee discloses distributing a key/user ID corresponding to a private key/user ID (col. 3, lines 28-42), receiving a secret key/key (col. 3, lines 42-64) encrypted by the key/user ID (col. 3, lines 42-64), decrypting the secret key/key by the private key/user ID (col. 4, lines 1-22), encrypting the access key/random number by the secret key/key (col. 3, lines 42-64) and sending the encrypted access key/random number (col. 3, line 28 - col. 4, line 22). Lee lacks a public key. However, Menezes teaches that key layering is a key-exchange technique, whereby a master key is distributed, key-encrypting keys are used to transport keys and data keys are used to encrypt the data a user will use (pp. 552-553, §13.3.1). Specifically, Menezes teaches that public keys can be used to encrypt other keys, which are then decrypted by the corresponding private key (p. 552, #2 & Fig. 13.4(b)). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a public key to encrypt the secret key. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to achieve simplified key management, as taught by Menezes (p. 551, #1-3).

Art Unit: 2134

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Simitoski whose telephone number is (571) 272-3841. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:45 a.m. - 4:15 p.m.. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays from 6:45 a.m. - 3:15 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached at (571) 272-3838.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, DC 20231

Or faxed to:


(703)746-7239 (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571)273-3841 (Examiner's fax, for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


MJS
June 27, 2005

David Y. Jung
Primary Examiner


7/24/08